

**Summary Report Of
Students Feedback Committee
(A.Y.2020-21)**

Student's feedback committee is constituted for conducting and analyzing the teaching process carried by teacher teaching the subject and assessed by students. The teachers teaching evaluation is based on overall observation and assessment of subject teacher while learning the subject. The objective of this process is an overall improvement in performance of the teacher in teaching by quantifying the assessment given by learners. The online feedback system (based on the Google form) has been used for the academic year 2020-21.

This institute level committee has a structure as:

- 01. Chairman: Principal
- 02. Coordinator: Institute Level Mr. B. S. Potdar
- 03. Coordinator: Departmental (For the academic year: 2019-20)
- ASH: Mr. C. S. Shinde / Mrs. S. R. Ghadge
- Chemical Engg.: Mr. J. V. Mapara / Mr. V. B. Sawant
- Civil Engg.: Mr. G. D. Parulekar / Mr. H. S. Pawar
- Computer Engg.: Mr. R. R. Bane / Mr. M. M. Gajmal
- EXTC: Mr. S. D. Latkar / Mr. N. V. Gamare
- Mechanical Engg: Mr. P. N. Jadhav / Mr. S. L. More

Feedback Parameters: The student's feedback was obtained for theory as well as practical teaching. The questionnaires for the same were given below.

Theory

1. Whether teaching relevant to syllabus?
2. Whether Faculty provides online link well in advance before start of class?
3. Whether allotted time is fully utilized?]
4. Whether the PPTs & online material used for explanation is of good quality & visible?
5. Whether practical real life examples are given?
6. Whether review is made on previous class?



7. Whether students doubts addressed satisfactorily?
8. Whether e-content on ELRC portal made available and properly updated time to time?
9. Whether e-content on ELRC portal made available and properly updated time to time?
10. Whether Faculty available for clearing doubts?
11. Whether Faculty creates subject interesting?]
12. Whether faculty used learning management system (e.g. Google Classroom, Moodle, Microsoft Team etc.) effectively?

Marks Awarding Criterion: The responses are given by the students based on the above parameters. The criterion for awarding the marks is:

- I: Very Poor
- 2: Poor
- 3: Good
- 4: Very Good
- 5: Excellent

The online responses were marks by the students for the respective subject teacher through Google Form. The five-point marks were converted to the percentage and performance index out of 100 was reported. The online report of every subject teacher was generated in ERP. The feedback report was sent to the teacher through the principal, HOD and feedback coordinator.

Objectives:

Sr.No.	Title of Program	Objectives
1	Student Feedback	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. To provide students with the opportunity to comment on the quality of their learning experiences, as required in preparation for and as part Student Feedback of review processes 2. To assess the success of academic provision in relation to the expectations of students

		<p>3. To provide feedback to lecturers in order to improve deliver and/or content of the study-unit.</p> <p>4. To identify obstacles in attaining learning objectives and outcomes of particular course.</p>
--	--	--

Planning:

Sr.No.	Title of the Program	Proposed Date	Execution Date
1.	First feedback of Odd semester	Feedback No.1 of Odd Semester will be Conducted during first Sessional exam.	Conducted as per the planning
2	Second feedback of Odd semester	Feedback No.2 of odd semester will be Conducted during second Sessional exam	Not conducted as students are not responding on time in online mode of academics
3	First feedback of Even semester	Feedback No.1 of Even Semester will be Conducted during first Sessional exam.	Conducted as per the plan.
4	Second feedback of Even semester	Feedback No.2 of Even semester will be Conducted during second Sessional exam	Not conducted as students are not responding on time in online mode of academics



Summary Table: Feedback Odd semester 2020-21

Branch	Number of Subjects/Faculty	Excellent ≥ 90	Very Good 80-90	Good 70-80	Average 50-70	Below Average ≤ 50
First Year Engineering	15	08	10	-	-	-
Mechanical Engineering	19	-	11	09	-	-
Computer Engineering	19	-	11	09	-	-
Civil Engineering	16	01	15	-	-	-
Chemical Engineering	16	04	10	02	-	-
Electronics & Telecommunication Engineering	18	03	11	04	-	-

Action Taken on Feedback:

- H.O.D. or his representative personally interacts with all faculties while distributing the feedback.
- H.O.D. appreciates the strong points from the feedback analysis from the faculty.
- Counsel & motivate for improvement of the performance of the faculty having week feedback.

Summary Table: Feedback Even semester 2020-21

Branch	Number of Subjects/Faculty	Excellent ≥ 90	Very Good 80-90	Good 70-80	Average 50-70	Below Average ≤ 50
First Year Engineering	18	-	23	01	-	-
Mechanical Engineering	18	-	15	09	-	-
Computer Engineering	18	03	09	06	-	-
Civil Engineering	15	09	06	-	-	-
Chemical Engineering	16	05	10	01	-	-

Electronics & Telecommunication Engineering	15	02	11	02	-	-
---	----	----	----	----	---	---

Action Taken on Feedback:

- H.O.D. or his representative personally interacts with all faculties while distributing the feedback.
- H.O.D. appreciates the strong points from the feedback analysis from the faculty.
- Counsel & motivate for improvement of the performance of the faculty having week feedback.

Burdale

Feedback coordinator

